

RDA for Copy Catalogers

OLA/WLA
April 25, 2013

Jean Peick

JeanP@wccls.org

Lori Robare

lrobare@uoregon.edu

Overview

- Why RDA?
- Main changes from AACR2
- RDA in MARC records
- Looking ahead: after MARC

We no longer live in a card catalog environment...

ML
3508
.G57
1985

Gitler, Ira.
Swing to bop : an oral history of the
tradition in jazz in the 1940s / Ira
Gitler. -- New York : Oxford University
Press, 1985.
331 p., [12] p. of plates : ill. ; 24
cm.
Includes index.
ISBN 0-19-503664-6

1. Jazz music--United States--History
and criticism. 2. Jazz musicians--
United States--Interviews. I. Title

11966597 ORUMac 85-5092

RDA records compatible with AACR2, but will be able to do much more

AACR2 was designed for a card catalog environment. We create records that are basically text, intended to be read by a human.

Many of the things we do in cataloging today, such as the use of so many abbreviations, are done to help fit information on a catalog card.

RDA

- Developed for the digital environment
 - With well-defined and structured data elements
 - Easier for computers to manipulate
- Clearly indicates relationships among works and the people responsible for them
- Clearer language for our patrons
- More international

Often when I do a session like this, I spend more time talking about the context for moving to RDA and I also give a brief background on FRBR. We won't do that today, given our limited time, but if you'd like to know more, the closing slides include the URL for a free webinar that has that information.

Gradual implementation

- Library of Congress completed its implementation in March 2013
- Many libraries are beginning to catalog in RDA
- When to implement is a local decision
- RDA records are compatible with AACR2 records
 - Most libraries will not re-catalog

LC tested RDA for several months and then decided to implement RDA, but to delay full implementation until early 2013. LC has been training its staff (over 400 catalogers) over the past months, and as staff were trained, they began using RDA for cataloging. Now ALL new cataloging from LC is done according to RDA. In addition, some testing libraries continued to catalog using RDA, so we are seeing an increasing quantity of RDA records in WorldCat.

Still, every library can decide when (or if) they will implement RDA. The reality is that many libraries in the U.S. rely heavily on LC for catalog records so it is likely that we will see a definite shift toward RDA implementation this spring and summer. But it will be a gradual transition for most libraries. There are probably RDA records in your catalog now – they are compatible with existing records.

I also want to emphasize that RDA is still a work in progress. Revisions are being made. Practices are changing as we get more experience using RDA. And the MARC format is changing – so you'll see records reflecting different practices, in this presentation and in your catalog. In addition, some of the most useful features of RDA are not optimized in our current MARC-based systems.

Main changes from AACR2

- “Take what you see”
- Fewer abbreviations and Latin terms
- GMD replaced by Content, Media, and Carrier types
- No more “rule of three”
- Controlled vocabularies for many elements

These are the most important overall changes in what you’ll see in RDA catalog records. We’ll talk about these as we look at different fields in the record.

Main changes from AACR2

- “Core elements”
 - Things that are required to be included in every record, if applicable
 - Includes Title, Publication information, Edition, etc.
- More reliance on cataloger’s judgment
 - For some parts of the record, you may see different options that are all correct

Changes in terminology

- Author → Creator
- Heading → Authorized access point
- Uniform title → Preferred title
- Main entry → *[it's complicated]*

There are also some changes in terminology. I'll use these today to help you get familiar with them. Sometimes I may slip up and use AACR2 terminology – I'm still getting used to these too!

Main entry: the concept is still there in RDA, but it's expressed in a different way: naming the work, with the preferred title + authorized access point for the creator (if appropriate)

How to identify an RDA record

OCLC 775329513 No holdings in ORU - 841 other holdings; 1 other IR

Books	Rec stat	c	Entered	20120204	Ref			
Type a	ELvl		Srcce		Audn		Ctrl	
BLvl m	Form		Conf	0	Blcg		MRec	
	Cont		GPub		LitF	1	Indx	0
Desc i	lls		Fest	0	DtSt	s	Dates	2012
010	2012001945							
040	DLC #b eng #e rda #c DLC #d IG# #d BDX #d BTCTA #d OCLCO #d EGM #d JP3 #d YDXCP #d BWX							
019	781683356 #a 800623354							
020	9780385341400 (acid-free paper)							

- 040 \$e rda (can appear anywhere in 040 field)
- Desc: i or c (usually "i" for ISBD punctuation)

First, let's talk about how to identify an RDA record.

The 040 has **\$e rda**

The fixed field Desc will usually have "i"

How to identify an RDA record

100	1	Thomason, Dustin.
245	1 0	12.21 : #b a novel / #c Dustin Thomason.
246	3	Twelve twenty-one
250		First edition.
264	1	New York : #b The Dial Press, #c [2012]
300		326 pages ; #c 25 cm
336		text #2 rdacontent
337		unmediated #2 rdamedia
338		volume #2 rdacarrier

Other clues can include the presence of the 336, 337, and 338 fields and the presence of words spelled out where you would normally see abbreviations (pages, illustrations).

(We'll talk about "hybrid records" toward the end of this session. AACR2 records may have some RDA elements added to them, but the record may not have been upgraded to RDA completely.)

245 Title and Statement of Responsibility

- This is a transcribed field: information is given as it appears on the resource
- “Take what you see” means:
 - Typos will be given as they appear (no more [sic])
 - Capitalization MAY appear in the record as it appears on the item (this is an OPTION)
 - 245 00 Mediation Ethics : \$b Cases and Commentaries
 - 245 00 MEDIATION ETHICS : \$b Cases and Commentaries

Now let's look at specific fields in the MARC record and how RDA changes might appear.

Accepting “found” capitalization is an **option**: the general rule is to capitalize the same as in AACR2

Typos: exception for serials – okay to correct a typo

245 §c Statement of Responsibility

- “Take what you see” means:
 - Some information that was omitted with AACR2 may be retained
 - Titles, affiliations, degrees, etc.
/ §c Peter A. Koenig, Florida State University.
- No more “rule of three”
 - The first named author (or other similar role) **MUST** be listed (core element)
 - All others **MAY** be listed
 - Or omit them and describe what’s omitted: “[and six others]”

No more “rule of three,” no more [et al.]

- No limit on the number of people recorded in a single statement of responsibility

AACR2:

America’s radical right / Raymond Wolfinger ... [et al.].

RDA:

America’s radical right / Raymond Wolfinger, Martin Shapiro,
Fred I. Greenstein, Steven J. Rosenstone.

Alternative:

America’s radical right / Raymond Wolfinger [and three others].

No limit on the number of people (or corporate bodies) given in the 245 \$c

There is an option to give just the first person and summarize what is omitted (in English)

Notice that we do see brackets in the last example ([and three others]). Brackets are used less often in RDA, but we will still see them in cases where the cataloger supplied information that did not appear in the item.

This change also affects the main entry – as we’ll see in a later slide.

250 Edition Statement

- This is also transcribed: “take what you see”
- Should match what appears in the item:
 - Spelled out if it’s spelled out in the item
 - Abbreviated if it appears that way
 - Numerals or words given as they appear

AACR2:

Title: Bizzare [sic] tales

Edition: 3rd rev. ed.

Alt title: Bizarre tales

RDA:

Title: Bizzare tales

Edition: Third revised edition

Alt title: Corrected title: Bizarre tales (*optional*)

Example of an error in the title (it appears this way in the book) and an edition statement

RDA:

- give the title as it appears, including the error; correct it in a note if it seems important

- Third revised edition – if it appears that way on the source, give it that way

260 & 264 Publication, Copyright, etc.

- Transcribed field! No abbreviations, unless they appear that way in the item.
- Core: first place of publication, first publisher
- No more [S.I.], [s.n.]

RDA:

[Place of publication not identified] : [publisher not identified]

...but actually you will most often see a probable place, even just: [United States?]

Only the first place of publication and first named publisher are core elements, so additional ones may be omitted

S.I. (Sine loco) and s.n. (sine nomine) were used in AACR2 when you did not know the place of publication or name of publisher.

LC **strongly** encourages catalogers to give a probable place of publication whenever possible, rather than using [Place of publication not identified]

Publication & copyright dates

AACR2:

[S.I.] : Harvest House Pub., c2010.

RDA:

[United States] : Harvest House Publishers,
[2010], ©2010.

OR : [2010].

OR: [date of publication not identified], copyright
2010.

AACR2:

If date of publication is unknown, the copyright date is given in its place
Copyright date not usually in the record otherwise

RDA:

copyright date is a separate element and is often included in records, even if the
same as publication date

This is an example of a book published by Harvest House Publishers, with no place or date
of publication given. There is a copyright date.

[explain slide] Notice that the copyright date may be indicated by the copyright symbol **or**
the word copyright.

I've mentioned that there have already been some new developments that affect how RDA
records might display. This slide shows how publication statements with a copyright date
were done until quite recently, so you'll see some publication statements like this.

New MARC field: 264

2nd indicator describes the function

- 0 Production
- 1 Publication
- 2 Distribution
- 3 Manufacture
- 4 Copyright notice date

264 _1 [United States] : \$b Harvest House Publishers,
\$c [2010]

264 _4 \$c ©2010

Here is the change. The new 264 field helps untangle the different kinds of information we have traditionally combined in publication statements, including production, distribution, manufacture (such as printing), and copyright.

RDA has separate elements for all of these, and now MARC also allow us to make different statements about different functions.

We now have a repeatable 264 field with 2nd indicator values to designate whether the field is for production (0), publication (1), distribution (2), manufacture (3), or copyright (4).

Production would be used for unpublished material, like a dissertation or thesis

Common example: two 264 fields
One for publication information
One for copyright date

245 0 0 Becoming van Gogh / #c edited by Timothy Standring, Louis van Tilborgh ; with essays by Simon Kelly [and seven others] ; with a contribution by Alisia Robin Coon.

264 1 [Denver] : #b Denver Art Museum, #c [2012]

264 4 #c ©2012

300 287 pages : #b chiefly color illustrations ; #c 32 cm

336 text #2 rdacontent

337 unmediated #2 rdamedia

338 volume #2 rdacarrier

Also note use of [and seven others] in 245 \$c

100	1	Williams, Gavin. #e author.
245	1 0	Learn HTML5 and JavaScript for Android / #c Gavin Williams.
264	1	[New York, N.Y.] : #b Apress, #c 2012.
264	2	New York, N.Y. : #b Springer Science+Business Media, #c 2012.
264	4	#c ©2012
300		xiii, 372 pages : #b illustrations ; #c 25 cm.
336		text #2 rdacontent
337		unmediated #2 rdamedia
338		volume #2 rdacarrier

Distribution statement

Catalog display making use of separate statements (Stanford University Libraries)

Author: [Mieszkowski, Jan, author.](#)

Title: Watching war / Jan Mieszkowski.

Publication: Stanford, California : Stanford University Press, [2012]

Copyright notice: ©2012 

Physical Description: xi, 244 pages : illustrations ; 23 cm.

Note: Includes bibliographical references and index.

Contents: Introduction : watching war -- How to tell a war story -- The witness under fire -- Looking at the dead -- Visions of total war -- Conclusion : old wars, new wars.

Subject (LC): [War in literature.](#)

Subject (LC): [War in mass media.](#)

Catalog displays can be adjusted so that copyright dates are displayed and labeled separately, as shown here in a record from Stanford's catalog.

Similarly, the catalog could show separate statements about distribution or printing.

260 or 264?

- 264 is a new field (June 2012) – many “older” RDA records do not have it
- The 260 field is still valid, so some libraries may continue to use it
- LC and PCC (Program for Cooperative Cataloging) libraries are now using the 264 in all RDA records

Changes in practice for copyright dates

- LC policy (July 2010-March 2011)
 - always give the copyright date, if known:
 - 260 New York : \$b Wiley, \$c [2010], ©2010.
- 264 field implemented (2012)
 - 264 _1 New York : \$b Wiley, \$c [2010].
 - 264 _4 \$c ©2010
- LC policy (beginning Fall 2012)
 - assume publication date from copyright:
 - 264 _1 New York : \$b Wiley, \$c [2010].

Remember, RDA is a work in progress! We are learning more about it as more RDA cataloging is done, and making some changes in how we apply it.

Many libraries followed LC and began always giving the copyright date, even if it duplicated the publication date (which it often does).

LC/PCC PS: Supply a date of publication that corresponds to the copyright date, in square brackets, if it seems reasonable to assume that date is a likely publication date.

If the copyright date is for the year following the year in which the publication is received, supply a date of publication that corresponds to the copyright date.

Copyright date: Record a copyright date for a single-part monograph if neither the date of publication nor the date of distribution is identified. It is not required to record copyright dates for multipart monographs, serials, and integrating resources.

300

Fewer abbreviations:

86 pages, 21 unnumbered pages : illustrations, 1 folded map ; 24 cm

AACR2: 86, [21] p. : ill., 1 folded map ; 24 cm.

Terminology changes:

1 audio disc (or optional 1 CD, 1 compact disc)

AACR2: 1 sound disc

Brackets go away – if there are 21 unnumbered pages, we will say so in words

“cm” is considered a symbol, not an abbreviation

DVD: 1 videodisc, but optional: 1 DVD

What about Playaways?

- No clear answers yet!
 - There are no specific instructions in RDA
 - Best practices will likely come soon
- Options for 300:
 - 1 Playaway
 - 1 audio media player
 - 1 digital media player

300 \$b illustrations

- Illustrative content is “Core” only for resources intended for children (LC Policy)
- For everything else, you might NOT see “illustrations” in 300 \$b when the book is illustrated

We don't know at this point whether other libraries will follow LC's policy and stop specifying that there are illustrations.

300 \$c Dimensions

- RDA: give dimensions in metric system
 - Measurements (dimensions, duration) ARE still abbreviated
 - “cm” is a symbol, not an abbreviation!
- Option: use another system of measurement
 - LC and most US libraries will use inches for discs

300 1 audio disc (34 min.) : \$b CD audio, stereo ;
\$c 4 $\frac{3}{4}$ in.

100	1	Corbin, Easton , #e performer.
245	1 0	All over the road / #c Easton Corbin.
260		Nashville, TN : #b Mercury Records, #c [2012]
300		1 CD : #b digital ; #c 4 3/4 in.
336		performed music #2 rdacontent
337		audio #2 rdamedia
338		audio disc #2 rdacarrier
500		Title from container.

Here's an example of a 300 for a CD.

We'll talk about the other fields in the record in a few minutes.

Accompanying material: options

Book + CD - all in one 300, with \$e

300 88 pages ; \$c 24 cm + \$e 1 audio disc (CD audio ; 4 ¾ in.)

Also OK: 300 88 pages ; \$c 24 cm + \$e 1 CD

OR repeat the 300 fields:

300 88 pages ; \$c 24 cm

300 1 audio disc : \$b CD audio ; \$c 4 ¾ in.

All of these are correct!

Most often, we will probably see \$e for accompanying material

We'll look at some more examples of 300 fields after we talk about the next topic --

No more [General material designation]

- GMD is the bracketed information in the title that conveys the type of material
 - [electronic resource]
 - [sound recording]
 - [videorecording]
- Replaced by three new RDA elements:
 - Content type (text, performed music, spoken word, etc.)
 - Media type (audio, microform, video, etc.)
 - Carrier type (audio disc, online resource, videodisc, etc)

This is a very important change that will have a big impact on what our patrons see

Problems with GMD include: streaming video/audio – what to use?

Streaming video = [videorecording] and [electronic resource]

Streaming audio = [sound recording] and [electronic resource]

But you can only give one – electronic resource

These vocabularies are CLOSED lists in RDA. If no appropriate term is available, the cataloger uses “Other” and can notify LC that a new term is needed.

MARC fields replacing the GMD

- 336 Content type
- 337 Media type
- 338 Carrier type

- These collectively replace the [GMD]
- Questions about catalog display
 - Icons?
 - Text?

New MARC fields have been defined for these elements

I'll show some examples of what goes into these fields

What will our systems do with these? They are not necessarily meant to display as the exact terms.

AACR2 : e-book

Game of thrones and philosophy \$h [electronic resource] : \$b
logic cuts deeper than swords / \$c edited by Henry Jacoby.

RDA : e-book

Game of thrones and philosophy : \$b logic cuts deeper than
swords / \$c edited by Henry Jacoby.

336 \$a text \$2 rdacontent

337 \$a computer \$2 rdamedia

338 \$a online resource \$2 rdacarrier

} Replace GMD

Here's an example of a GMD in an AACR2 record. This is for an e-book (but electronic resource could also be for streaming video, audio)

Here's what would happen in an RDA record.

Text in red is what goes away, replaced by the 336-338 fields

Music CD

100	1		Corbin, Easton , #e performer.
245	1	0	All over the road / #c Easton Corbin.
260			Nashville, TN : #b Mercury Records, #c [2012]
300			1 CD : #b digital ; #c 4 3/4 in.
336			performed music #2 rdacontent
337			audio #2 rdamedia
338			audio disc #2 rdacarrier
500			Title from container.

Here's our music CD again.

The terms used in the 336, 337, and 338 come from closed lists of approved terms. There is no option to substitute "CD" or "compact disc" for audio disc in the 338.

336 and 338 are core, 337 is not. You may encounter records with no 337 field.

DVD

245	0	0	Littlerock / #c a Small Forms Films production ; written & directed by Mike Ott.
257			United States.
260			New York, NY : #b Kino Lorber, #c [2012]
300			1 videodisc (82 min.) ; #b Blu-ray, sound, color ; #c 4 3/4 in.
336			two-dimensional moving image #2 rdacontent
337			video #2 rdamedia
338			videodisc #2 rdacarrier

What about Playaways?

33X fields for a Playaway audiobook:

336 spoken word \$2 rda content

337 audio \$2 rdamedia

338 other \$2 rdacarrier → LC's choice

OR

338 object \$2 rdacarrier → BYU's choice

These are CLOSED lists – a controlled vocabulary in which you can't just make up a term.

There is no perfect "carrier" term for playaway yet.

What about Large Print?

- 33X fields are not defined for this
- A new subfield has been defined: font size
 - 340 \$n large print
- Not yet widely used
- Many libraries are continuing to add the GMD locally for now

340 is for textual information concerning the physical characteristics of the materials. It corresponds to the 007, for coded physical information

\$n has been defined for font size

LC's current practice for large print

100	1	Scottoline, Lisa.
245	1 0	Come home / #c Lisa Scottoline.
260		Waterville, Maine : #b Thorndike Press, #c 2012.
300		585 pages (large print) ; #c 23 cm.
336		text #2 rdacontent
337		unmediated #2 rdamedia
338		volume #2 rdacarrier
490	0	Thorndike Press large print basic

33X fields are repeatable

- Many resources have more than one content, media, and carrier type
- The fields may be repeated
 - \$3 may be used to identify parts of the resource
- OR**
- Multiple terms may be given within one field
 - 336 \$a text \$a still image

multiple terms in one field is not being used much, but it is OK

Book + DVD

245	0	0	Anno Schmidt : #b ein Film von Sebastian Schadhauser : Essays, Dokumente, Materialien / #c Herausgegr
246	1	4	Anno Schmidt : #b ein Film von Sebastian Schadhauser mit Rafael Alberti & Anita Ekberg
260			Mönchengladbach : #b Büro für Realitäts Design, #c [2007], ©2007.
300			103 pages : #b illustrations ; #c 21 cm + #e 1 videodisc (40 mins. : DVD video, sound, color ; 4 3/4 in.)
336			text #2 rdacontent
336			two-dimensional moving image #2 rdacontent
337			unmediated #2 rdamedia
337			video #2 rdamedia
338			volume #2 rdacarrier
338			videodisc #2 rdacarrier
500			Published in a limited and numbered edition.
504			Includes bibliographical references.
546			Text in German; film on accompanying videodisc in Italian.

Here's a book with an accompanying DVD (sorry that it's in German)

This shows a typical 300 \$e for an accompanying DVD, as well as repeated 336, 337, and 338 fields.

In this case there is no \$3 to specify the part the term applies to. We just make conclusions from the order: the first 336, 337 & 338 apply to the book. The 2nd of each applies to the DVD.

Spoken word CDs + booklet

245	0	0	Travel writers / #c compilation, Steve Cleary.
246	1		#i Subtitle on container insert: #a Travel writers and explorers in their own w
264		1	London : #b British Library : #b BBC, #c [2012]
300			2 audio discs (150 min.) : #b digital, CD audio ; #c 4 3/4 in. + #e 1 booklet ([
306			023000
336			#3 CD #a spoken word #2 rdacontent
336			#3 booklet #a text #2 rdacontent
337			#3 CD #a audio #2 rdamedia
337			#3 booklet #a unmediated #2 rdamedia
338			#3 CD #a audio disc #2 rdacarrier
338			#3 booklet #a volume #2 rdacarrier

Spoken word CD with a booklet -- here the \$3 is used.
 \$3 specifies the part that the term applies to.

CD: spoken word, audio, audio disc

Booklet: text, unmediated, volume

Changes in headings/access points

- Form of access points (1XX, 7XX)
- Where might the choice of main entry be different? (100 vs. 700)
- Relationship designators
 - subfield \$e in 1XX, 7XX

Changes in access points: personal names

- Abbreviations spelled out:

Lee, John, 18th **cent.** → Lee, John, 18th **century**

King, Mike, 1946 **Oct. 10-** → King, Mike, 1946 **October 10**

Smith, Jane, **ca.** 1820-1865 → Smith, Jane, **approximately** 1820-1865

Tate, Ellen, **fl.** 1893-1940 → Tate, Ellen, **active** 1893-1940

- Terms indicating relationships are part of the name:

Williams, Hank, 1949- → Williams, Hank, **Jr.**, 1949-

Here are a few **highlights** of changes to access points for personal names. Many of them are the result of spelling out abbreviations or using English instead of Latin.

In addition, terms indicating relationships, such as “Jr.” or “Sr.” are considered an integral part of the name and will be included in the access point. With AACR2, such terms were included only if needed to distinguish one name from another. So even though we know Hank Williams, Jr., by that name, the “Jr.” was not part of his heading under AACR2; with RDA, it would be.

Corporate names & titles

- “Department” spelled out, if it is used that way

Ohio. Dept. of Commerce → Ohio. Department of Commerce

- Parts of the Bible

Bible. O.T. → Bible. Old Testament

Bible. O.T. Genesis → Bible. Genesis

- Other sacred scriptures

Koran → Qur’an

Here are some of the most noticeable changes in access point for corporate names and titles.

Main entry

- Very few differences in author vs. title main entry in RDA
- Exception:
 - A work with more than three creators
 - AACR2: title main entry
 - RDA: first named creator in the 1XX

Four or more authors? First one in 100

- AACR2: title main entry, 700 for Wolfinger
- RDA:

100 1 Wolfinger, Raymond E. **** required**

245 10 America's radical right / Raymond
Wolfinger, Martin Shapiro, Fred I. Greenstein,
Steven J. Rosenstone.

700 1_ Shapiro, Martin.

700 1_ Greenstein, Fred I.

700 1_ Rosenstone, Steven J.

} Allowed, but
not required

We saw this example earlier when we were talking about the 245 \$c. This is how it affects “main entry”:

In AACR2, if you have four or more authors, only the first is listed in the 245 \$c, there is title main entry, and the first author is in a 700

In RDA, all authors CAN be listed in the 245 \$c, and the first one is given in the 100. The others can be 700s, but they are not required.

If the fourth or fifth author was someone important to your community, you might want to give an author entry but should not, according to the rules. In fact, in this situation under AACR2, authors beyond the first are not normally mentioned in the record at all, so cannot be found even using keyword.

It is also OK to list the names in the 245 \$c and NOT add the 7xx fields. Or, to give 7XX access points and NOT list the names in the

245 \$c. There is no requirement for these to correspond.

Relationships

- RDA includes lists of terms that express what a person (or corporate body) DID in relation to a resource
- These terms are added to 1XX and 7XX in \$e
- They are optional, but encouraged!
 - Relationships are one of the most important aspects of FRBR and RDA

With AACR2, we can give notes to describe the roles of people, but for added entries, we can only give a 7XX

Was the person a translator? Editor? Illustrator?

Performer, composer? The MARC tag (700) gives us no clue.

Director, producer, screenwriter, actor, narrator?

100 1 [Bloch-Dano, Evelyne](#), #e author.
240 1 0 Fabuleuse histoire des légumes. #l English
245 1 0 Vegetables : #b a biography / #c Evelyne Bloch-Dano ; translated by Teresa Lavender Fagan.
260 Chicago ; #a London : #b University of Chicago Press, #c [2012]
300 112 pages ; #c 22 cm
336 text #2 rdacontent
337 unmediated #2 rdamedia
338 volume #2 rdacarrier

650 0 [Vegetables](#) #x [History](#).
700 1 [Fagan, Teresa Lavender](#), #e translator.

Widely used for movies, where there are so many different roles

130	0	Bag it (Motion picture)
245	1 0	Bag it / #c Reel Thing Films ; a film by Suzan Beraza ; directed by Suzan Beraza ; produced by Suzan Beraza & Michelle Hill and Alexa Warren ; written by Michelle Curry Wright.
246	1	#i Subtitle on container and disc label : a Is your life too plastic?
257		United States.
260		[Harriman, N.Y.] ; #b New Day Films [2012?]



700	1	Beraza, Suzan , #e film director, #e film producer.
700	1	Hill, Michelle , #e film producer.
700	1	Warren, Alexa , #e film producer.
700	1	Wright, Michelle Curry , #e screenwriter.

Relationships among resources

- RDA also makes it possible to specifically name how one resource is related to another
- These relationship designators are used in 7XX fields in subfield \$i
- Many contain FRBR terms (work, expression)

... in a structured way that a computer can understand

Catalogers have long tried to explain when one resource is related to another, but until now we've only been able to use textual notes for this.

FRBR terms are included in some of these, such as "Contains (work)" or "Reproduction of (Manifestation)". This happens when the relationship could also happen at another FRBR level; it's important to have distinct terms in place so that future systems can connect the entities correctly. Ideally the public display would NOT include the FRBR terms.

Related Works

AACR2 21.28

100 1_ \$a McCaig, Donald.
245 10 \$a Rhett Butler's
people / \$c Donald McCaig.
500 __ \$a Sequel to Margaret
Mitchell's *Gone with the
wind*.
700 1_ \$a Mitchell, Margaret,
\$d 1900-1949. \$t *Gone with
the wind*.

RDA 25.1, 24.5 & Appendix J

100 1_ \$a McCaig, Donald, \$e
author.
245 10 \$a Rhett Butler's
people / \$c Donald McCaig.
700 1_ \$i Sequel to: \$a
Mitchell, Margaret, \$d
1900-1949. \$t *Gone with
the wind*.

Slide from Adam Schiff

This slide is from Adam Schiff's excellent presentation, *Changes from AACR2 to RDA: A Comparison of Examples*. He shows here that in an AACR2 record, we can only make a textual note (that's the 500 field, shown in red) about the relationship of one work to another. In an RDA record, we can say explicitly in a coded field (the 700, also in red) that Rhett Butler's people is a sequel to *Gone with the wind*.

If you like this kind of comparison – I've given you a link to Adam's presentation at the end of the slides.

100	1	Levi, Peter.
245	1 4	The hill of Kronos / #c Peter Levi.
260		London : #b Eland, #c 2007.
300		258 pages ; #c 22 cm
336		text #2 rdacontent
337		unmediated #2 rdamedia
338		volume #2 rdacarrier
600	-1 0	Levi, Peter #x Homes and haunts #z Greece.
650	0	Authors, English #y 20th century #v Biography .
650	0	Archaeologists #z Great Britain #v Biography .
651	0	Greece #x Intellectual life #y 20th century .
651	0	Greece #x Description and travel .
775	0 8	#i Reproduction of (manifestation): #a Levi, Peter #t Hill of Kronos #d London : Collins, 1981 #h 222 p. PR6023.E912 Z465 #w (DLC) 80506350

Now when we have a reprint of a book, we can give that information in a very structured way.

The 775 field is for Other Edition.

```

100 1 Debussy, Claude #d 1862-1918 #e composer.
240 1 0 Piano music. #k Selections
245 1 4 The Debussy edition. #n CD 8, #p Solo piano works.
260 Berlin : #b Deutsche Grammophon, #c [2012], ©1990.

```

```

700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Suite bergamasque.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Images, #m piano #n (1894)
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Pour le piano.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Estampes.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t D'un cahier d'esquisses.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Isle joyeuse.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Arabesques, #m piano.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Hommage à Haydn.
700 1 2 #i Contains (work): #a Debussy, Claude, #d 1862-1918, #t Rêverie \(Piano work\)

```

We'll see these a lot with sound recordings that are compilations of musical works. This set contains recordings of Debussy's solo piano works, and each one is individually named here with \$i Contains (work)

Future systems should be able to easily connect this compilation with other recordings of these works

Other relationship terms

- Just a few of the many available terms:
 - Adaptation of (work)
 - Commentary on (expression)
 - Issued with
 - Parody of (work)
 - Remake of (work)
 - Screenplay for (expression)
 - Translation of

There is tremendous potential for systems to make use of these relationships, as they are added to more and more records.

Wouldn't it be great to be able to search for all parodies of *Pride and Prejudice*, for example?

Remember:
RDA is still a work in progress

- Practices are changing as we get more experience using RDA
- Revisions are still being made to RDA
- The MARC format is changing: new fields and subfields
- You'll see records reflecting different practices, in this presentation and in your catalog

More new fields: non-book resources

- 344 Sound characteristics
 - digital, optical, stereo, surround, etc.
- 345 Projection characteristics of moving image
 - 3D, Cinerama
- 346 Video characteristics
 - VHS, NTSC, PAL
- 347 Digital file characteristics
 - Blu-ray, PDF, MP3

Not yet in Bib
Formats &
Standards!
See Technical
Bulletin 261

I want to alert you to a few VERY NEW fields that are just starting to show up in records for AV materials. These do not yet show up in OCLC Bib Formats and Standards (they are REALLY slow to update this resource!) but they are in the MARC 21 Format for Bibliographic Data and in Technical Bulletin 261 (linked from home page of Bib Formats)

These fields are used for information that has typically been given in note fields or 300 \$b. This is a more structured, precise way to give the information. However, these fields are new and are not yet widely used; most RDA records will still have such information given in note fields.

344: Technical specifications relating to the encoding of sound in a resource.

345: Technical specifications relating to the projection of a moving image resource.

346: Technical specifications relating to the encoding of analog video images in a resource.

347: Technical specification relating to the digital encoding of text, image, audio, video, and other types of data in a resource. This information could also be recorded in field 300 (Physical Description) \$b (Other physical details).

Some libraries are beginning to use these fields: but they are optional

245	1	0	There's no business like show business / #c produced by Sol C. Siegel ; screenplay by Her ; from a story by Lamar Trotti ; directed by Walter Lang
264	1		Beverly Hills, CA : #b Twentieth Century Fox Home Entertainment, LLC, #c [2012].
300			1 videodisc (117 min) : #b sound, color ; #c 12 cm
336			two-dimensional moving image #2 rdacontent
337			video #2 rdamedia
338			videodisc #2 rdacarrier
344			digital #b optical #g surround #h DTS-HD Master Audio 5.1
344			digital #b optical #g surround #h Dolby Digital 4.0
344			digital #b optical #g mono #h Dolby Digital 1.0
346			#b HDTV #2 rda
347			video file #b Blu-ray #2 rda

New fields for music

- 382 Medium of performance
- 383 Numeric designation of Musical Work
- 384 Key

These fields were recently approved for bibliographic records; I can't find any examples in which they are being used. They could be used in records for scores or sound recordings

RDA authority record for a person –
with new fields

010		no2012008222
040		ICU-JRM #b eng #c ICU-JRM #e rda
046		#f 1966 ←
100	1	Anisimova, Tanya, #d 1966-
370		Groznyĭ, Russia #e Virginia ←
374		Cellist #a Composer ←
375		female ←
670		Carillon sky, 2011, ©2011: #b label (Tanya Anisimov (cellist and composer; born in Chechen city of Grozi

I want to briefly mention another cool feature of RDA. If you ever look at authority records, you know that they document the heading used for a person (or corporate body).

RDA defines new elements that help identify a person, and new MARC fields have been created for them. This will really help us tell different authors apart!

Here we see coded fields for her date of birth, places she has lived, her occupation and gender

There is great potential to make use of these fields in searching. They can now be searched in Connexion, the cataloging interface to WorldCat. I searched “female and composer” and retrieved 78 authority records. This is by no means a comprehensive list of female composers, but not a bad result given that we have just begun supplying these fields.

Labelled display, from LC's Authorities Database

LC control no.: no2012008222

LCCN permalink: <http://lccn.loc.gov/no2012008222>

Descriptive conventions: rda

Personal name heading: Anisimova, Tanya, 1966-

Located: Virginia

→ **Birth date:** 1966

→ **Place of birth:** Groznyĭ, Russia

→ **Profession or occupation:** Cellist Composer

Found in: Carillon sky, 2011, ©2011: label (Tany
insert (cellist and composer; born in Cl
Conservatory, Boston University, and Y

This is the public view of an RDA authority record in LC's database, with labels showing the meaning of those new fields.

You may notice that it identifies this person's birth date and place of birth, as well as her profession.

Making sense of what you see in OCLC records

- More libraries are beginning to catalog using RDA
 - LC catalogers are now working entirely in RDA
- OCLC has policies for working with existing records: can they be changed?
 - AACR2 → RDA or vice-versa

Bib records do change over time

- Often a record is first added as CIP (ELvl 8)
 - LC, vendor, or other library upgrades to Full when the book is published (ELvl I or Blank)
- Some records are first added as Minimal level (ELvl K, M) or Abbreviated (ELvl 3)
 - Other libraries may add, correct information, and change to Full
- Libraries can edit most records
 - Exception: only PCC libraries can make certain change to PCC records

Let's take a quick look at how bib records in OCLC can change over time.

OCLC Policy: effective March 31

- <https://www.oclc.org/rda/new-policy.en.html>
- RDA is not required
 - Do not create duplicates (AACR2 + RDA)
 - You **may** change any master record to RDA
 - But it is not required!
 - Do so with the piece in hand and evaluate the entire record
 - Do NOT change RDA master record to AACR2

Working with existing OCLC records

- Catalogers may add some RDA elements to non-RDA records without “re-coding”
 - Add relator terms
 - Spell out abbreviations
 - Add complete statements of responsibility
 - Add 336/337/338 fields

Working with existing records: GMD

- OCLC will retain GMDs where they exist for three years (until March 31, 2016)
- If you are editing a master record without upgrading to RDA, leave the GMD
- If you are updating a master record to RDA, remove the GMD

The Reality

- Records are in fact being changed from one set of rules to another
 - OCLC cannot prevent this, only discourage it
- People make mistakes
 - Some records coded as RDA, even records from LC, do not contain all of the required RDA elements

CIP records in particular are often being changed from RDA to AACR2 when upgraded to full. This is NOT supposed to happen, but some libraries (and vendors) probably consider CIP records as minimal level and OK to change.

Bib records will change over time

- Errors will be corrected
- Some practices that are not clear now will settle down and become clearer
- More RDA elements will eventually be added to existing records
 - 33X fields for Content, Media, and Carrier type will be added to all records
 - Abbreviations will be spelled out in non-transcribed fields

Cont b GPub
 Desc a Ills a Fest

010 2012015634

040 DLC #b eng #c DLC #d YDX #e BTCTA #d VTS
 #d MNJ #d YUS #d OCLCO

020 9780691140124 (alk. paper)

100 1 [Hendel, Ronald S.](#)

245 1 4 The book of Genesis : #b a biography / #c Ronald Hendel.

260 Princeton, N.J. : #b Princeton University Press, #c c2013.

300 xii, 287 p. : #b ill. ; #c 20 cm.

336 text #b txt #2 rdacontent

337 unmediated #b n #2 rdamedia

338 volume #b nc #2 rdacarrier

★ {

AACR2 record with 33X fields added

New MARC fields may be an interesting challenge

- Some library systems do not deal with the new fields well YET
- ILS vendors need to accommodate the new fields and make them work for searching
 - It will take some time for systems to catch up
 - In the meantime, some local editing may be needed

Don't get rid of data

- Even if your ILS can't deal with new RDA fields today, they will be important in the future
- Searching can be greatly enhanced by:
 - More specific, structured data
 - Relationships clearly indicated:
 - The role people play for specific resources
 - Between resources (sequels, parodies, reproductions)

Looking ahead

- The year ahead will be interesting as most libraries transition to RDA
 - Some may continue using AACR2 – it's a choice
- There are a lot of new MARC fields to accommodate RDA, but MARC is still very limited
 - FRBR entities and relationships don't translate well to MARC

MARC has served us very well, but it is based on forty-year-old techniques for data management. Like AACR2, it is showing its age. It is time to move beyond MARC.

LC is leading the Bibliographic Framework Transition Initiative to identify and develop a new framework. It's hard to imagine at this point what this might look like.

Again, interesting times ahead as we learn more about this!

Resources

- RDA in MARC
www.loc.gov/marc/RDAinMARC-10-12.html
- MARC 21 Format for Bibliographic Data
www.loc.gov/marc/bibliographic/ecbdhome.html
- Catalogers' Learning Workshop (LC training)
www.loc.gov/catworkshop/

Here are a few links to resources that will be helpful as you interpret RDA records

RDA training materials on Cataloger's Learning Workshop:
[http://www.loc.gov/catworkshop/RDA%20training%20materi
als/](http://www.loc.gov/catworkshop/RDA%20training%20materials/)

PCC RDA Interim Policies and Best Practices -- [Link to the PCC Web Site](#)

Library of Congress RDA Training

› [Library of Congress RDA Classroom Training Plan](#) (September 2012)
[Word : 117 KB]

› [Library of Congress RDA Online Training Plan](#) (September 2012)
[Word : 158 KB]

› [Library of Congress RDA Training Plan: Presentation at ALA Annual, 2012](#) (June 2012) [PPT : 205 KB]

➔ [Library of Congress RDA training materials](#) used for internal training beginning in June 2012.

RDA Toolkit

› [Using the RDA Toolkit](#) [Word : 36 p. : 867 KB : May 2012]

➔ **RDA Record Examples**

› The RDA Records Task Group, under the auspices of the PCC Standing Committee on Training, collected a wealth of RDA bibliographic and authority records to be used for training and educational purposes. The task group was formed in October 2011 and completed its work on March 1, 2012. The [record examples](#) are categorized by format and/or language.

Resources

- Adam Schiff's AACR2 to RDA comparison
<http://faculty.washington.edu/aschiff/>
- ALCTS webinar "RDA for the Non-Cataloger"
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aGuLhYGpMhw>
- OLAC's page for cataloging guides
- <http://www.olacinc.org/drupal/?q=node/358>

Resources: Cheat Sheets!

Carnegie Library of Pittsburgh:

- RDA Coding: Quick Reference Chart
- <http://sdrv.ms/ZZy0uM>

- RDA Implementation and Coding Chart
(includes CLP's local policy decisions)
- <http://sdrv.ms/ZZy4dW>

Questions?

Contact information:

Lori Robare

lrobare@uoregon.edu

Jean Peick

JeanP@wccls.org

<http://www.wccls.org/presentations/>

